

PHIL 110 review questions for test 2

Our second test will be held Wednesday, February 20. This particular test will be composed of 40-50 true-false and multiple-choice questions, and will include all of the material on informal reasoning covered in Ch. 3 of Hurley. Please bring a #2 pencil.

1. *Basic terminology from Ch. 1.* If you haven't fully mastered the basic jargon of Ch. 1, review that for the test. I'll be expecting you to know the difference between an inductive and a deductive argument, for instance, what it is for an argument to be valid, etc.

2. *Informal fallacies.* This is the bulk of test 2's material. For each of the following fallacies, be able to say (1) what general category the fallacy in question falls into (fallacy of relevance, fallacy of weak induction, etc.), and why that is, (2) what the general style of arguments committing that fallacy look like, and (3) what fallacy is being committed in a given passage, if that passage were provided to you.

- Fallacies of relevance
 - appeal to force
 - appeal to pity
 - appeal to the people
 - direct
 - indirect
 - ad hominem
 - ad hominem abusive
 - ad hominem circumstantial
 - tu quoque
 - accident
 - straw man
 - missing the point
 - red herring
- Fallacies of weak induction
 - appeal to unqualified authority
 - appeal to ignorance
 - hasty generalization
 - false cause (and be able to distinguish between the different versions of the fallacy as given in Ch. 3 too—e.g., *post hoc* vs. oversimplified cause)
 - slippery slope
 - weak analogy
- Fallacies of presumption
 - begging the question
 - complex question
 - false dichotomy
 - suppressed evidence
- Fallacies of ambiguity and grammatical analogy
 - equivocation
 - amphiboly
 - composition
 - division